

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

7 LARRY GENE HEGGEM,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 MONROE CORRECTIONAL
11 COMPLEX, DR. KENNETH LAUREN,
12 DR. JOSEPH LOPIN, and MANIGO-
HEDT,

13 Defendants.

No. C11-5985 RBL/KLS

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
RECONSIDERATION

14 On May 15, 2012, this Court entered an Order granting Defendants' Motion to Strike
15 (ECF No. 43). Plaintiff seeks reconsideration of that Order. Having carefully considered the
16 Plaintiff's motion, the Court finds that it should be denied.

17 **DISCUSSION**

18
19 Motions for reconsideration are disfavored and will ordinarily be denied in the "absence
20 of a showing of manifest error in the prior ruling or a showing of new facts or legal authority
21 which could not have been brought to [the Court's] attention earlier with reasonable diligence."
22 Local Rule CR 7(h)(1).

23 As noted by the Court, Mr. Heggem was given ample opportunity to fully respond to
24 Defendants' motion to dismiss and to file his objections to the undersigned's Report and
25 Recommendation. In addition, he filed numerous documents after his response and objection
26 which were untimely, needlessly time-consuming and costly.

ORDER - 1

1 Plaintiff has identified no error in the Court's Order. Nor has he presented any new facts
2 or legal authority. Therefore, reconsideration is inappropriate.

3 It is, therefore, **ORDERED**:

4 (1) Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (ECF No. 55) is **DENIED**.

5 (2) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to Plaintiff and to counsel for
6 Defendants.
7

8 **DATED** this 25th day of June, 2012.

9
10 

11 Karen L. Strombom
12 United States Magistrate Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26